

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Orders

The 18th June, 1982

No. ID/FD/60/82/27270.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s D. D. Forging Pvt. Ltd., 18/5, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of Bonus @ 20% for the year 1980-81? If so, with what details?

The 23rd June, 1982

No. ID/FD/60/82/28290.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s Trans Auto I-S D.L.F., Industrial Area-I, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of Bonus @ 29% for the year 1980-81? If so, with what details?

The 24th June, 1982

No. ID/FD/116/82/28400.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Laldee Pvt. Ltd. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication.—

Whether the workmen should be issued appointment letters? If so, with what details?

H. L. GUGNANI,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour and Employment Departments.

The 11th June, 1982

No. ID/AMB/22/82/26548.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Prithipal Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Kaithal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab.68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Sri Prithipal Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?